

ARIZONA SILVER BELT.

OFFICIAL PAPER OF GILA CO.

Saturday, July 12, 1884.

"NOTHING SUCCEEDS LIKE SUCCESS."

Gr. Cleveland was elected sheriff of Cleveland county, New York, in 1869, but after the expiration of his term of office he returned to the practice of law, and his political career in reality can not be said to have begun until 1880. It was then that a movement was started in Buffalo having for its object the breaking up of ring rule. Reformers in casting about for a nominee for mayor, chose Cleveland. He was comparatively unknown at that time, but as is often the case in reform movements, this was a recommendation and he was elected by an overwhelming majority. As mayor of the city of Buffalo he fulfilled the most cherished hopes of his friends and supporters, and acted with an independence and firmness as commendable as it was uncommon. The ringsters were turned out of office, routed and disheartened, and Cleveland at once leaped into popularity. Fortune again favored him in 1882. Flower and Slocum were the leading candidates for the Democratic nomination for governor, but as neither faction was able to elect their man, the Flower delegates went over to Cleveland, and he received the nomination. His election, from the opening of the canvass, was almost assured. Republicans, disgusted with Stalwart rule, deserted Folger, the nominee of their party; many of them voted the Democratic ticket, while others remained at home, and the consequence was Cleveland went in with a boom which has had no parallel in the history of the state. His majority was more than 192,000, and this, coupled with the fact that the independent Republicans signified their willingness to support him, gained for him the Democratic nomination for President at the recent Chicago convention. Cleveland may be said to have taken at his flood that tide which, Shakespeare says, "leads on to fortune" and it bids fair to carry him safely through another election to the highest honor within the gift of the people.

THE CHOLERA.

Inasmuch as there is a strong probability that America is to be again visited by cholera, it will prove interesting to recur to its approach from Asia in 1832, where it had its origin, through Smyrna and along the Mediterranean to France and England, its present course, across the ocean, to America. Its first appearance in England was in Kent, from where it spread with fearful rapidity, causing the greatest mortality in populous communities. On this side of the Atlantic the cholera first appeared in Quebec, June 28th, 1832, and two days later in Montreal. In ten days the average daily deaths in each city numbered 150. The scourge followed the line of travel. Its first appearance in the city of New York was June 27, 1832, and on the 5th of July deaths had increased to over 115 daily. By the last of August it had entirely disappeared from the city. The whole number of deaths being 2,520. From the city of New York it spread into the New England states and by the Erie canal to Utica and Buffalo. It prevailed everywhere throughout the valley of the Mississippi as late as the latter part of the summer of 1833. Its next appearance in the United States was in 1849, and then again in 1866. In 1849 it was unusually severe, twenty-five per cent. (6,000) of the population of St. Louis died. In Cincinnati it was no less fatal—about the same per centage of population died. It spread in that year over all the Middle and Western states and in many towns in New England. The President of the United States appointed August 3, 1849, as a day of fasting and prayer to God, that he would "avert the pestilence that walketh in darkness, and the destruction that wasteth at noonday." It was generally and seriously observed throughout the country.

L. E. Meyers, member of the Manhattan Athletic Club and amateur champion short-distance runner of the world, won his first race in England at the Lilliebridge athletic meeting, covering 440 yards in 49.25 seconds. Subsequently, at the Birmingham meeting, he won a half mile race in the fast time of one minute 55.25 seconds, beating the amateur record. He has yet to meet his most formidable opponent, W. G. George. When they meet the records will doubtless be smashed into smithereens.

They News and Tucson have been on the south will be satisfied.

GRANT AND WARD.

We avail ourselves of the earliest opportunity to correct a statement which appeared in our last issue calculated to do injustice to Gen. U. S. Grant. Col. Fred Dent Grant and Ferdinand Ward, whose joint liabilities we unwittingly placed at \$17,000,000, when in fact their indebtedness is only \$16,792,647.72. This sum, we are pleased to state, the referee, Mr. Davis, estimates as not wholly absorbed by members of the late firm, and he places the available assets at \$67,174.30, which will pay four mills and a fraction upon each dollar of indebtedness.

This showing of Mr. Davis will be a source of gratification to the creditors, who will now be relieved of all further interest in the estate, as the collectable assets will not more than pay the cost of settling the business of the late firm. Col. F. Dent Grant's individual liabilities, as stated in his deed of assignment, is \$2,200,000, which together with his responsibility for the firm's liabilities, obligates him in round numbers for \$19,000,000, which he probably intends liquidating from his pay as a colonel in the army. Both he and his father evidently appreciate the responsibility resting upon them, as is evidenced by the fact that the old man has curtailed his current expenses to \$1,500 per month and Fred, with a like commendable spirit, has sold a span of his favorite roadsters and a phaeton. The Colonel's home is at his regally furnished villa at Morristown, New Jersey, where he is surrounded by every luxury. U. S. Grant, Jr., Mr. Davis says, is also crippled. Davis is probably correct, as he was a member of the firm, and financially swamped his father-in-law Chaffee, of Colorado, who intrusted him with \$500,000 as a special deposit, which he placed in the firm of Grant & Ward to assist in tiding them over a tight place, and which he has been unable to recover. James D. Fish, president of the "busted" Marine National Bank, was also a bob to the kite of the slipshod firm of Grant & Ward, and when they failed the bank was also a hopelessly lame duck and forced to close its doors.

Fish and Ward are now in a New York jail, where, if justice were done, the Grants, father and sons, would also be awaiting the judgment day in a criminal court. The Grants are well known by reason of the great military and political prominence of the elder Grant, but Ward and Fish were comparatively unknown outside of financial circles in New York and, therefore, it is admissible to speak of them more at length in this connection.

Ward is about thirty-eight years of age, slightly built and stands five feet ten inches. His complexion is sallow. The aggressive prominence of his nose is a marked peculiarity of his countenance. Eight years ago he was a clerk earning a salary of twenty dollars a week. At a later period he lived in elegant style at the head of an establishment which comprised a coachman and footman, a French cook, a French butler and a French waiter. And his magnificence extended beyond this. Near Stamford, Connecticut, he owned property which cost him \$60,000, and there stabled the majority of his twenty-four horses, carriages, dogs and other usual belongings to snobs and men of wealth.

Mr. Fish is sixty-five years old, and a native of Connecticut. He was a diner-out, a prominent club man, and well known about town. His ruddy face shows him a good liver; he has sparkling eyes, which are only trees through gold-mounted spectacles, a jolly countenance, is bald headed, and notwithstanding his advanced age has the reputation of possessing great gallantry. Mr. Fish is popular among men of his class. He is regarded as a shrewd far-seeing man.

The failure of Grant & Ward, involving as it does the integrity of one so idolized as General Grant, the astounding amount of their liabilities and paucity of assets, gives it a national interest which will for years to come be referred to in financial circles as unparalleled in the monetary history of the world. Unless, indeed, it finds a parallel in the gambling scheme once familiar as "The South Sea Bubble," a term peculiarly expressive of its hollow and ephemeral splendor and sudden collapse, which was originated by the Earl of Oxford in 1711, and that projected six years later, in France, by John Law, and known as the Mississippi Scheme. These two latter projects did not prove as disastrous as that of Grant's. They paid a percentage, though small, while Grant's gives nothing whatever to creditors.

On Thursday last we met W. B. McCulloch, who is in the country looking for traces of his brother who, it will be remembered, disappeared with Capt. Prescott and A. G. Darney. Since these three started on their prospecting trip, nearly a year ago, there has been absolutely no trace of them. Mr. McCulloch goes to St. Johns, in Arizona, with a view to probing inquiries among men—Silver.

The Independent movement in Massachusetts, which the Republican organs have treated lightly, as being of little importance, is assuming such proportions that it promises to be a formidable barrier to Blaine's carrying the state and places Massachusetts in the doubtful list. According to the secretary of the Boston Independent committee, they have now on their lists the names of more than 5,000 independent Republicans who will oppose Blaine, and he estimates that they represent a voting force of 35,000 or 20,000. In Massachusetts, as well as in New York, the number of Republican who are willing to sign a pledge to oppose their party's ticket, bears only a small proportion to the number who will refuse to vote for Blaine and Logan next November. It was this unknown factor which contributed largely to Cleveland's tremendous majority in 1882, and the uncertainty and possibilities of its force in this campaign acts as a lodestone upon the Blaine canvass. The Massachusetts Independent movement is growing daily, and by thorough and systematic organization they will extend their work to all parts of the state. Ex-Mayor Prince, of Boston, claims that enough has been done already to insure the electoral vote of the state to the Democrats.

Preferred Locals.

Charley Star has just received ten barrels of the celebrated Anheuser beer, which he is selling at 40 cents per bottle and 12½ cents per drink.

Chapel has the largest and best assortment of syrups in town. Ask for his Eureka brand, western New York. Guaranteed pure maple.

Go to Chapel's for laundry and toilet soap.

Go to G. S. Van Wagenen's for fresh groceries. Prices as low as the lowest. New invoice of staple and fancy groceries just received at G. S. Van Wagenen's.

Go to Charley Star's saloon and get a drink of cool Anheuser-Busch beer, at 12½ cents per drink and 40 cents per bottle.

Go to Chapel's for fresh soda and fancy crackers, also dried fruits of all kinds—very choice.

Chapel has a faculty of mixing tea and gridding coffee for his customers, which is being greatly appreciated these warm days.

The largest and finest selection of fresh confectionery at Chapel's.

Tobacco and cigars at Somerville's—both of choicest kind.

Fresh ranch butter at G. S. Van Wagenen's.

Go to Chapel's for fresh groceries.

Mackerel, white fish and trout for breakfast in quantities to suit at Chapel's.

Van Wagenen sells all classes of goods as low as the lowest.

Fresh ranch eggs at Somerville's.

Canned pie plant, 2 for one silver dollar, at Chapel's.

Fresh California cheese and best ranch butter at Mrs. R. Gill's.

Parties desiring wood, please leave orders at Chapel's.

Flour, corn meal, graham flour, cracked wheat, oat meal and hominy at G. S. Van Wagenen's.

For pretty prints and gingham, go to J. Myers & Schein.

Tye-hominy, graham flour, etc., at Chapel's.

All kinds of groceries at Van Wagenen's.

Call at the News Depot if you want to buy legal, bill and congress cap paper or paperettes cheap.

Taylor & Hatch.

For choice groceries and fresh fruit—Mrs. R. Gill's headquarters.

Ask Chapel for Fensler's Old Honesby chewing tobacco; just the thing for this climate.

Go to the Crystal Lake saloon for a cool drink.

Have you seen Schein's scoop hats for ladies?

J. Myers & Schein are selling white and colored torobon lace, at 10 cents a yard.

Job lots of chewing or smoking tobaccos, at 50 cents per lb., at the News Depot—Taylor & Hatch.

Parties desiring ice can now leave their orders at Benbrook & Morelins saloon.

Elegantly furnished rooms at Chapel's on Oak St., by the day, week or month.

Chapel makes a specialty of fresh and dried fruits, butter, cheese, eggs, and everything that's good.

A choice selection of toilet and laundry soap just arrived at Chapel's.

Call at Chapel's for a mess of Round Shore herring for breakfast.

Call at Chapel's for a package of Boone's eye hominy, America's national dish.

Assorted and fancy candies just received at St. John's.

Somerville's just in receipt of dried fruit, raisins, currants, etc., also oranges.

Butter, eggs and cheese at Somerville's.

New Potatoes at Van Wagenen's.

Go to Somerville's for oranges, lemons and apples.

If you want fancy crackers and fancy biscuits, go to Somerville's.

J. Myers & Schein have received the latest novelties of satines, burlings, nun's veiling, lace checks and french knadders.

J. Myers & Schein, would respectfully announce to their customers, and the public in general, that they are now ready to offer at unprecedented low prices, the largest and most complete stock of dry goods ever offered in Globe. Our various departments cannot be excelled, by any other house in the Territory; they comprise a full line of dress goods, white goods, fancy goods, hosiery, millinery and notions. Our ladies, misses and childrens shoes, is the largest and finest selection ever brought to Globe, and will be sold lower than the market value. Having bought the above in large quantities, it will enable us to sell cheaper than any of our competitors. Our stock is now open for inspection.

Notice of Forfeiture.

TO AARON MASON, EXECUTOR and administrator of the estate of Fordyce Phelps, deceased, and to the heirs or representatives of said deceased, and to all whom it may concern.

TAKE NOTICE—That I, a co-owner, in the Keston mining location, claim and mining ground, situated in Mineral Creek, in the district, Pinal County, Arizona, about three-fourth miles, northwesterly from Mineral Creek, at a point about six miles north from the mouth of Mineral Creek, where it empties into the Gila River. Said Keston mine adjoins the Copper King mine, have done and expended the sum of One Hundred dollars, the actual assessment required by law for the year 1883, to hold the said mining claim, and unless you pay me your proportion of said expenses, thirty-seven dollars and fifty cents, together with the expense of this notice, within ninety (90) days from this notice, your interest and title in said Keston mining claim, will be forfeited to me.

Dated, March 23, 1884.

To be published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

JOHN H. HEALY.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

THE "BIG FOUR" PERFESS REMEDIES
SKIN CURE.
CATARRH CURE.
COUGH CURE.
BLOOD CURE.
FOR SALE BY ALL DRUGGISTS.
BEDINGTON & CO.
GENERAL AGENTS.
SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

Notice of Forfeiture.

TO AARON MASON, EXECUTOR and administrator of the estate of Fordyce Phelps, deceased, and to the heirs or representatives of said deceased, and to all whom it may concern.

TAKE NOTICE—That I, a co-owner, in the Keston mining location, claim and mining ground, situated in Mineral Creek, in the district, Pinal County, Arizona, about three-fourth miles, northwesterly from Mineral Creek, at a point about six miles north from the mouth of Mineral Creek, where it empties into the Gila River. Said Keston mine adjoins the Copper King mine, have done and expended the sum of One Hundred dollars, the actual assessment required by law for the year 1883, to hold the said mining claim, and unless you pay me your proportion of said expenses, thirty-seven dollars and fifty cents, together with the expense of this notice, within ninety (90) days from this notice, your interest and title in said Keston mining claim, will be forfeited to me.

Dated, March 23, 1884.

To be published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

JOHN H. HEALY.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.

Published thirteen times successively in a weekly newspaper published nearest to the claim.